http://www.justice.gov/crt/joint-statement-department-justice-and-department-housingand-urban-development-1

Joint Statement Of The Department Of Justice And The Department Of Ho And Urban Development

GROUPCHOMES; LOCAL LAND USE, AND THE FAIR HOUSING ACT

Since the federal Fair Housing Act ("the Act") was amended by Congress in 1988 to add protections for persons with disabilities children, there has been a great deal of litigation concerning the Act's effect on the ability of local governments to exercise conti arrangements, particularly for persons with disabilities. The Department of Justice has taken an active part in much of this litigareferral of a matter by the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). This joint statement provides an overview of Act's requirements in this area. Specific topics are addressed in more depth in the attached Questions and Answers.

The Fair Housing Act prohibits a broad range of practices that discriminate against individuals on the basis of race, color, religic origin, familial status, and disability. (1) The Act does not pre-empt local zoning laws. However, the Act applies to municipalities a government entities and prohibits them from making zoning or land use decisions or implementing land use policies that exclud discriminate against protected persons, including individuals with disabilities.

The Fair Housing Act makes it unlawful --

- To utilize land use policies or actions that treat groups of persons with disabilities less favorably than groups of non-disal example would be an ordinance prohibiting housing for persons with disabilities or a specific type of disability, such as m locating in a particular area, while allowing other groups of unrelated individuals to live together in that area.
- To take action against, or deny a permit, for a home because of the disability of individuals who live or would live there. It be denying a building permit for a home because it was intended to provide housing for persons with mental retardation.
- · To refuse to make reasonable accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodation is a such accommodation and accommodation and accommodation are accommodation and accommodation and accommodation are accommodation and accommodation are accommodation and accommodation and accommodation are accommodation accommodation accommodation are accommodation accommodation are accommodation accommodat necessary to afford persons or groups of persons with disabilities an equal opportunity to use and enjoy housing.
- What constitutes a reasonable accommodation is a case-by-case determination.
- Not all requested modifications of rules or policies are reasonable. If a requested modification imposes an undue financia burden on a local government, or if a modification creates a fundamental alteration in a local government's land use and not a "reasonable" accommodation.

If SLA's and is for transition

The disability discrimination provisions of the Fair Housing Act do not extend to persons who claim to be disabled solely on the transitional housing deen adjudicated a juvenile delinquent, having a criminal record, or being a sex offender. Furthermore, the Fair Housing Act doi persons who currently use illegal drugs, persons who have been convicted of the manufacture or sale of illegal drugs, or persor of released prisonedisabilities who present a direct threat to the persons or property of others.

then the HUD and the Department of Justice encourage parties to group home disputes to explore all reasonable dispute resolution proc community needs to know that:

Unlicensed group homes JGUST 18, 1999

are an issue that licensed RFFG are concerned with as well

Questions and Answers

so we can protect both the community and seniors who rely on licensed RFFG.

Q. Does the Fair Housing Act pre-empt local zoning laws?

No. "Pre-emption" is a legal term meaning that one level of government has taken over a field and left no room for government pass laws or exercise authorityin that area. The Fair Housing Act is not a land use or zoning statute; it does not pre-empt local I laws. This is an area where state law typically gives local governments primary power. However, if that power is exercised in a s way that is inconsistent with a federal law such as the Fair Housing Act, the federal law will control. Long before the 1988 amen had held that the Fair Housing Act prohibited local governments from exercising their land use and zoning powers in a discrimir

Q. What is a group home within the meaning of the Fair Housing Act?

The term "group home" does not have a specific legal meaning. In this statement, the term "group home" refers to housing occu unrelated individuals with disabilities. (2) Sometimes, but not always, housing is provided by organizations that also offer various individuals with disabilities living in the group homes. Sometimes it is this group home operator, rather than the individuals who that interacts with local government in seeking permits and making requests for reasonable accommodations on behalf of those

The term "group home" is also sometimes applied to any group of unrelated persons who live together in a dwelling -- such as a who voluntarily agree to share the rent on a house. The Act does not generally affect the ability of local governments to regulate kind, as long as they do not discriminate against the residents on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, handicap familial status (families with minor children).

8/16/2015 6:02 AM 1 of 4

Q. Who are persons with disabilities within the meaning of the Fair Housing Act?

The Fair Housing Act prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicap. "Handicap" has the same legal meaning as the term "discussed in other federal civil rights laws. Persons with disabilities (handicaps) are individuals with mental or physical impairments volume or more major life activities. The term mental or physical impairment may include conditions such as blindness, hearing mobility impairment, HIV infection, mental retardation, alcoholism, drug addiction, chronic fatigue, learning disability, head injury The term major life activity may include seeing, hearing, walking, breathing, performing manual tasks, caring for one's self, learn working. The Fair Housing Act also protects persons who have a record of such an impairment, or are regarded as having such

Current users of illegal controlled substances, persons convicted for illegal manufacture or distribution of a controlled substance juvenile offenders, are not considered disabled under the Fair Housing Act, by virtue of that status.

The Fair Housing Act affords no protections to individuals with or without disabilities who present a direct threat to the persons of Determining whether someone poses such a direct threat must be made on an individualized basis, however, and cannot be bat assumptions or speculation about the nature of a disability.

Q. What kinds of local zoning and land use laws relating to group homes violate the Fair Housing Act?

Local zoning and land use laws that treat groups of unrelated persons with disabilities less favorably than similar groups of unre without disabilities violate the Fair Housing Act. For example, suppose a city's zoning ordinance defines a "family" to include up persons living together as a household unit, and gives such a group of unrelated persons the right to live in any zoning district v permission. If that ordinance also disallows a group home for six or fewer people with disabilities in a certain district or requires use permit, such requirements would conflict with the Fair Housing Act. The ordinance treats persons with disabilities worse that disabilities.

A local government may generally restrict the ability of groups of unrelated persons to live together as long as the restrictions at such groups. Thus, in the case where a family is defined to include up to six unrelated people, an ordinance would not, on its fa a group home for seven people with disabilities was not allowed to locate in a single family zoned neighborhood, because a groun unrelated people without disabilities would also be disallowed. However, as discussed below, because persons with disabilities request reasonable accommodations in rules and policies, the group home for seven persons with disabilities would have to be opportunity to seek an exception or waiver. If the criteria for reasonable accommodation are met, the permit would have to be g instance, but the ordinance would not be invalid in all circumstances.

Q. What is a reasonable accommodation under the Fair Housing Act?

As a general rule, the Fair Housing Act makes it unlawful to refuse to make "reasonable accommodations" (modifications or exc policies, practices, or services, when such accommodations may be necessary to afford persons with disabilities an equal oppoenjoy a dwelling.

Even though a zoning ordinance imposes on group homes the same restrictions it imposes on other groups of unrelated people government may be required, in individual cases and when requested to do so, to grant a reasonable accommodation to a grou with disabilities. For example, it may be a reasonable accommodation to waive a setback requirement so that a paved path of to provided to residents who have mobility impairments. A similar waiver might not be required for a different type of group home on the provided to residents who have mobility impairments. A similar waiver might not be required for a different type of group home on the provided to residents who have an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling

Not all requested modifications of rules or policies are reasonable. Whether a particular accommodation is reasonable depends must be decided on a case-by-case basis. The determination of what is reasonable depends on the answers to two questions: I request impose an undue burden or expense on the local government? Second, does the proposed use create a fundamental a zoning scheme? If the answer to either question is "yes," the requested accommodation is unreasonable.

What is "reasonable" in one circumstance may not be "reasonable" in another. For example, suppose a local government does four or more unrelated people to live together in a single-family neighborhood. A group home for four adults with mental retarda likely be able to show that it will have no more impact on parking, traffic, noise, utility use, and other typical concerns of zoning to family." In this circumstance, there would be no undue burden or expense for the local government nor would the single-family neighborhood be fundamentally altered. Granting an exception or waiver to the group home in this circumstance does not invaling the local government would still be able to keep groups of unrelated persons without disabilities from living in single-family neighborhood.

By contrast, a fifty-bed nursing home would not ordinarily be considered an appropriate use in a single-family neighborhood, for having nothing to do with the disabilities of its residents. Such a facility might or might not impose significant burdens and exper community, but it would likely create a fundamental change in the single-family character of the neighborhood. On the other har might not create a "fundamental change" in a neighborhood zoned for multi-family housing. The scope and magnitude of the magnetic production are among the factors that will be taken into account in determining requested accommodation is reasonable.

Q. What is the procedure for requesting a reasonable accommodation?

Where a local zoning scheme specifies procedures for seeking a departure from the general rule, courts have decided, and the Justice and HUD agree, that these procedures must ordinarily be followed. If no procedure is specified, persons with disabilities

2 of 4 8/16/2015 6:02 AM

request a reasonable accommodation in some other way, and a local government is obligated to grant it if it meets the criteria d local government's failure to respond to a request for reasonable accommodation or an inordinate delay in responding could als

Whether a procedure for requesting accommodations is provided or not, if local government officials have previously made statindicated that an application would not receive fair consideration, or if the procedure itself is discriminatory, then individuals with a group home (and/or its operator) might be able to go directly into court to request an order for an accommodation.

Local governments are encouraged to provide mechanisms for requesting reasonable accommodations that operate promptly ϵ without imposing significant costs or delays. The local government should also make efforts to insure that the availability of sucl well known within the community.

Q. When, if ever, can a local government limit the number of group homes that can locate in a certain area?

A concern expressed by some local government officials and neighborhood residents is that certain jurisdictions, governments, neighborhoods within a jurisdiction, may come to have more than their "fair share" of group homes. There are legal ways to add The Fair Housing Act does not prohibit most governmental programs designed to encourage people of a particular race to move occupied predominantly by people of another race. A local government that believes a particular area within its boundaries has group homes, could offer incentives to providers to locate future homes in other neighborhoods.

However, some state and local governments have tried to address this concern by enacting laws requiring that group homes be minimum distance from one another. The Department of Justice and HUD take the position, and most courts that have address that density restrictions are generally inconsistent with the Fair Housing Act. We also believe, however, that if a neighborhood c composed largely of group homes, that could adversely affect individuals with disabilities and would be inconsistent with the obj persons with disabilities into the community. Especially in the licensing and regulatory process, it is appropriate to be concerned for a group home. A consideration of over-concentration could be considered in this context. This objective does not, however, j separations which have the effect of foreclosing group homes from locating in entire neighborhoods.

Q. What kinds of health and safety regulations can be imposed upon group homes?

The great majority of group homes for persons with disabilities are subject to state regulations intended to protect the health an residents. The Department of Justice and HUD believe, as do responsible group home operators, that such licensing schemes legitimate. Neighbors who have concerns that a particular group home is being operated inappropriately should be able to bring the attention of the responsible licensing agency. We encourage the states

to commit the resources needed to make these systems responsive to resident and community needs and concerns.

Regulation and licensing requirements for group homes are themselves subject to scrutiny under the Fair Housing Act. Such re on health and safety concerns can be discriminatory themselves or may be cited sometimes to disguise discriminatory motives exclude group homes from a community. Regulators must also recognize that not all individuals with disabilities living in group to need the same level of services or protection. For example, it may be appropriate to require heightened fire safety measures people who are unable to move about without assistance. But for another group of persons with disabilities who do not desire of assistance, it would not be appropriate to require fire safety measures beyond those normally imposed on the size and type of reinvolved.

Q. Can a local government consider the feelings of neighbors in making a decision about granting a permit to a group a residential neighborhood?

But if you have either the same way a local government would break the law if it rejected low-income housing in a community because of neighbors then you need a sprinkles ble accommodation in response to neighbors' stereotypical fears or prejudices about persons with disabilities. This is so individual government decision-makers are not themselves personally prejudiced against persons with disabilities. If the evidence decision-makers were responding to the wishes of their constituents, and that the constituents were motivated in substantial parconcerns, that could be enough to prove a violation.

Of course, a city council or zoning board is not bound by everything that is said by every person who speaks out at a public hea as a whole that will be determinative. If the record shows that there were valid reasons for denying an application that were not disability of the prospective residents, the courts will give little weight to isolated discriminatory statements. If, however, the purp reasons advanced to support the action are not objectively valid, the courts are likely to treat them as pretextual, and to find that discrimination.

For example, neighbors and local government officials may be legitimately concerned that a group home for adults in certain cir create more demand for on-street parking than would a typical family. It is not a violation of the Fair Housing Act for neighbors c this concern and to ask the provider to respond. A valid unaddressed concern about inadequate parking facilities could justify d application, if another type of facility would ordinarily be denied a permit for such parking problems. However, if a group of individisabilities or a group home operator shows by credible and unrebutted evidence that the home will not create a need for more submits a plan to provide whatever off-street parking may be needed, then parking concerns would not support a decision to depermit.

This is why we feel strongly that SLA's need to have public disclosure of where SLA's are and survey results.

If you can walk, do not use any adaptive devices and do not have any cognitive or mental illness issues you may not need a sprinkler.

3 of 4 8/16/2015 6:02 AM

Q. What is the status of group living arrangements for children under the Fair Housing Act?

In the course of litigation addressing group homes for persons with disabilities, the issue has arisen whether the Fair Housing A protections for group living arrangements for children. Such living arrangements are covered by the Fair Housing Act's provision discrimination against families with children. For example, a local government may not enforce a zoning ordinance which treats arrangements for children less favorably than it treats a similar group living arrangement for unrelated adults. Thus, an ordinanc group of up to six unrelated adult persons as a family, but specifically disallowed a group living arrangement for six or fewer chil face, discriminate on the basis of familial status. Likewise, a local government might violate the Act if it denied a permit to such neighbors did not want to have a group facility for children next to them.

The law generally recognizes that children require adult supervision. Imposing a reasonable requirement for adequate supervising facilities for children would not violate the familial status provisions of the Fair Housing Act.

Q. How are zoning and land use matters handled by HUD and the Department of Justice?

The Fair Housing Act gives the Department of Housing and Urban Development the power to receive and investigate complaint including complaints that a local government has discriminated in exercising its land use and zoning powers. HUD is also obligate attempt to conciliate the complaints that it receives, even before it completes an investigation.

In matters involving zoning and land use, HUD does not issue a charge of discrimination. Instead, HUD refers matters it believe meritorious to the Department of Justice which, in its discretion, may decide to bring suit against the respondent in such a case. Justice may also bring suit in a case that has not been the subject of a HUD complaint by exercising its power to initiate litigatio or practice" of discrimination or a denial of rights to a group of persons which raises an issue of general public importance.

The Department of Justice's principal objective in a suit of this kind is to remove significant barriers to the housing opportunities persons with disabilities. The Department ordinarily will not participate in litigation to challenge discriminatory ordinances which enforced, unless there is evidence that the mere existence of the provisions are preventing or discouraging the development of

If HUD determines that there is no reasonable basis to believe that there may be a violation, it will close an investigation withou to the Department of Justice. Although the Department of Justice would still have independent "pattern or practice" authority to action in the matter that was the subject of the closed HUD investigation, that would be an unlikely event. A HUD or Departmen not to proceed with a zoning or land use matter does not foreclose private plaintiffs from pursuing a claim.

Litigation can be an expensive, time-consuming, and uncertain process for all parties. HUD and the Department of Justice encc group home disputes to explore all reasonable alternatives to litigation, including alternative dispute resolution procedures, like attempts to conciliate all Fair Housing Act complaints that it receives. In addition, it is the Department of Justice's policy to offer defendants the opportunity to engage in pre-suit settlement negotiations, except in the most unusual circumstances.

- 1. The Fair Housing Act uses the term "handicap." This document uses the term "disability" which has exactly the same legal m
- 2. There are groups of unrelated persons with disabilities who choose to live together who do not consider their living arrangem and it is inappropriate to consider them "group homes" as that concept is discussed in this statement.

>

1

4 of 4 8/16/2015 6:02 AM